

NONCOMMUTATIVE DOUBLE BRUHAT CELLS AND THEIR FACTORIZATIONS

ARKADY BERENSTEIN AND VLADIMIR RETAKH

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Quasideterminants and Quasiminors	3
1.1. Definition of quasideterminants	3
1.2. Elementary properties of quasideterminants	4
1.3. Noncommutative Sylvester formula	5
1.4. Quasi-Plücker coordinates and Gauss LDU -factorization	5
1.5. Positive quasiminors	6
2. Basic factorizations in $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$	8
3. Examples	12
3.1. A factorization in the Borel subgroup of $GL_3(\mathcal{F})$	12
3.2. A factorization in $GL_3(\mathcal{F})$	12
3.3. A factorization in the unipotent subgroup of $GL_4(\mathcal{F})$	13
4. Double Bruhat cells in $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$ and their factorizations	14
4.1. Structure of $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$	14
4.2. Bruhat cells and Double Bruhat cells	15
4.3. Factorization problem for reduced double Bruhat cells	16
4.4. Factorizations of $G^{u,v}$	22
5. Other factorizations in $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$ and the maximal twist ψ^{w_\circ, w_\circ}	24
References	27

0. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a first attempt to generalize results of A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky on total positivity of matrices over commutative rings to matrices over noncommutative rings.

The classical theory of total positivity studies matrices whose minors all are nonnegative. Motivated by a surprising connection discovered by G. Lusztig [10, 11] between total positivity of matrices and canonical bases for quantum groups, A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky in a series of papers [3, 1, 2, 4] systematically investigated the problem of total positivity from a representation-theoretic point of view.

Date: June 30, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A22 Secondary 17B37.

Research supported in part by the NSF (DMS) grant # 0102382 (A.B) and by the NSA grant # MDA 9040310047 (V.R).

In particular, they showed that a natural framework for the study of totally positive matrices is provided by the decomposition of a reductive group G into the disjoint union of double Bruhat cells $G^{u,v} = BuB \cap B_-vB_-$ where B and B_- are two opposite Borel subgroups in G , and u and v belong to the Weyl group W of G .

According to [3, 2, 4] there exist families of birational parametrizations of $G^{u,v}$, one for each reduced expression of the element (u, v) in the Coxeter group $W \times W$. Every such parametrization can be thought of as a system of local coordinates in $G^{u,v}$. Such coordinates are called *the factorization parameters* associated to the reduced expression of (u, v) . The coordinates are obtained by expressing a generic element $x \in G$ as an element of the maximal torus $H = B \cap B_-$ multiplied by the product of elements of various one-parameter subgroups in G associated with simple roots and their negatives; the reduced expression prescribes the order of factors in this product. An explicit formula for these factorization parameters as rational functions on the double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$ was given.

As we said, Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky came to factorization parameters (first, for GL_n and then for other classical groups) from representation theory. For the noncommutative case our program is to go into opposite direction: from factorization parameters for GL_n to “total positivity”, canonical bases and representations. This paper is a beginning of the program.

For $G = GL_n(F)$ where F is a field of characteristic zero, the explicit formulas for factorization parameters are given through the classical determinant calculus. As a first step toward noncommutative representation theory and noncommutative total positivity, we generalize here the results from [4] and [2] to $G = GL_n(\mathcal{F})$ where \mathcal{F} is a (noncommutative) skew field by using the *quasideterminantal* calculus of matrices over (noncommutative) rings introduced by I. Gelfand and V. Retakh [5, 6, 7, 8].

The noncommutative point of view has many advantages. Let $w_o \in W$ be the element of the maximal length. In the commutative case the factorization parameters for $x \in G^{u,v}$, $G = GL_n$, $u = id$, $v = w_o$ are given as ratios ab/cd or a/b where a, b, c, d are minors of matrix x (see [3]). In the noncommutative case, for any u and $v = w_o$, the factorization parameters can be written as $f^{-1}g$ where f, g are quasiminors for matrix x . The paper contains other noncommutative formulas and constructions for GL_n that are new even in the commutative case.

Our results confirm the Gelfand principle: noncommutative algebra (properly understood) is simpler than its commutative counterpart.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 1 we recall some facts about quasideterminants and introduce our main tool - positive quasiminors $\Delta_{u,v}^i$. In Section 2 we study basic factorizations in GL_n and its Borel subgroup. Section 3 contains examples of such factorizations. Section 4 section is central for the paper. First, we introduce “noncommutative SL_2 -subgroups” in GL_n . For a generic matrix x we define special quasiminors $\Delta_{u,v}^i(x)$, where $u, v \in W$ and show that they satisfy certain “Plücker relations”. We note that $\Delta_{u,v}^i(x)$ is always positive for positive real matrices x . Section 4 also contains the main result: it gives formulas for factorization coordinates for reduced double Bruhat cells. For a matrix $x \in G^{u,v}$ these coordinates are written as products of quasiminors $\Delta_{s,t}^i(y)$ where the matrix y is the so called noncommutative twist of x . In Section 5 we study relations between quasiminors of $x \in G^{u,w_o}$ and the corresponding twisted matrix. In this case the quasiminors $\Delta_{\cdot,\cdot}^i(y)$ in the main

theorem can be replaced by quasiminors $\Delta_{\cdot, \cdot}^i(x)$. Studying twisted matrices is an important problem by itself and we present several approaches to computations of such matrices. These results are new even in the commutative case.

1. QUASIDETERMINANTS AND QUASIMINORS

A notion of quasideterminants for matrices over a noncommutative ring was introduced in [5, 6] and developed in [7]. It has been effective in many areas (see, for the example, the survey article [8]). Here we remind a few facts about quasideterminants which will be used in this paper.

1.1. Definition of quasideterminants. Let $A = (a_{ij}), i \in I, j \in J$ be a matrix of order n over a ring R . Construct the following submatrices of A : submatrix A^{ij} , $i \in I, j \in J$ obtained from A by deleting its i -th row and j -th column; row submatrix r_i obtained from i -th row of A by deleting the element a_{ij} ; column submatrix c_j obtained from j -th column of A by deleting the element a_{ij} .

Definition 1.1. If $n = 1$ the quasideterminant $|A|_{ij}$ equals to a_{ij} . If $n > 1$ the quasideterminant $|A|_{ij}$ is defined if the submatrix A^{ij} is invertible over the ring R . In this case one has

$$|A|_{ij} = a_{ij} - r_i(A^{ij})^{-1}c_j.$$

For a generic matrix A over a skew field \mathcal{F} , one has

$$|A|_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum a_{iq} |A^{ij}|_{pq}^{-1} a_{pj}.$$

Here the sum is taken over all $p \in I \setminus \{i\}, q \in J \setminus \{j\}$.

If A is an $n \times n$ -matrix there exist up to n^2 quasideterminants of A .

By definition, an r -quasiminor of a square matrix A is a quasideterminant of an $r \times r$ -submatrix of A .

Sometimes it is convenient to adopt a more graphic notation for the quasideterminant $|A|_{pq}$ by boxing the element a_{pq} . For $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$, we write

$$|A|_{pq} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1q} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ & & & & \\ a_{p1} & \dots & \boxed{a_{pq}} & \dots & a_{pn} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nq} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Example 1.2. 1) For a matrix $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, 2$ there exist four quasideterminants if the corresponding entries are invertible

$$\begin{aligned} |A|_{11} &= a_{11} - a_{12} \cdot a_{22}^{-1} \cdot a_{21}, & |A|_{12} &= a_{12} - a_{11} \cdot a_{21}^{-1} \cdot a_{22}, \\ |A|_{21} &= a_{21} - a_{22} \cdot a_{12}^{-1} \cdot a_{11}, & |A|_{22} &= a_{22} - a_{21} \cdot a_{11}^{-1} \cdot a_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

2) For a matrix $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, 2, 3$ there exist nine quasideterminants but we will write here only

$$\begin{aligned} |A|_{11} &= a_{11} - a_{12}(a_{22} - a_{23}a_{33}^{-1}a_{32})^{-1}a_{21} & -a_{12}(a_{32} - a_{33} \cdot a_{23}^{-1}a_{22})^{-1}a_{31} \\ & -a_{13}(a_{23} - a_{22}a_{32}^{-1}a_{33})^{-1}a_{21} & -a_{13}(a_{33} - a_{32} \cdot a_{22}^{-1}a_{23})^{-1}a_{31} \end{aligned}$$

provided all inverses are defined.

Quasideterminant is not a generalization of a determinant over a commutative ring but a generalization of a ratio of two determinants.

Example 1.3. If A is a matrix over a commutative ring then

$$|A|_{pq} = (-1)^{p+q} \frac{\det A}{\det A^{pq}}.$$

Also, if A is invertible and $A^{-1} = (b_{ij})$ then

$$b_{ij}^{-1} = |A|_{ji}$$

if the element b_{ij} is invertible.

Remark 1.4. If each a_{ij} is an invertible morphism $V_j \rightarrow V_i$ in an additive category, then the quasideterminant $|A|_{pq}$ is also a morphism from the object V_q to the object V_p .

1.2. Elementary properties of quasideterminants. Here is a list of elementary properties of quasideterminants.

i) The quasideterminant $|A|_{pq}$ does not depend on the permutation of rows and columns in the matrix A if the p -th row and the q -th column are not changed;

ii) *The multiplication of rows and columns.* Let the matrix B be constructed from the matrix A by multiplication of its i -th row by a scalar λ from the left. Then

$$|B|_{kj} = \begin{cases} \lambda |A|_{ij} & \text{if } k = i \\ |A|_{kj} & \text{if } k \neq i \text{ and } \lambda \text{ is invertible.} \end{cases}$$

Let the matrix C be constructed from the matrix A by multiplication of its j -th column by a scalar μ from the right. Then

$$|C|_{i\ell} = \begin{cases} |A|_{ij} \mu & \text{if } \ell = j \\ |A|_{i\ell} & \text{if } \ell \neq j \text{ and } \mu \text{ is invertible.} \end{cases}$$

iii) *The addition of rows and columns.* Let the matrix B be constructed by adding to some row of the matrix A its k -th row multiplied by a scalar λ from the left. Then

$$|A|_{ij} = |B|_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let the matrix C be constructed by addition to some column of the matrix A its ℓ -th column multiplied by a scalar λ from the right. Then

$$|A|_{ij} = |C|_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, \ell-1, \ell+1, \dots, n.$$

The following *homological relations* play an important role in the theory.

Theorem 1.5. a) *Row homological relations:*

$$-|A|_{ij} \cdot |A^{i\ell}|_{sj}^{-1} = |A|_{i\ell} \cdot |A^{ij}|_{s\ell}^{-1} \quad \forall s \neq i$$

b) *Column homological relations:*

$$-|A^{kj}|_{it}^{-1} \cdot |A|_{ij} = |A^{ij}|_{kt}^{-1} \cdot |A|_{kj} \quad \forall r \neq j$$

1.3. Noncommutative Sylvester formula. The following noncommutative version of the famous Sylvester identity found in [5, 6] is closely related with the fundamental *Heredity principle* (see [7, 8]).

Let $A = (A_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$ be a matrix over a skew field \mathcal{F} . Let $k < n - 1$. Suppose $k \times k$ -submatrix $A_0 = (a_{ij}), i \in I_0, j \in J_0$ is invertible. For $p \notin I_0, q \notin J_0$ construct $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ -submatrix $A_{pq} = (a_{ij})$ where $i \in I_0 \cup \{p\}, j \in J_0 \cup \{q\}$. Set

$$b_{pq} = |A_{pq}|_{pq}$$

and construct matrix $B = (b_{pq}), p \notin I_0, q \notin J_0$.

We call the submatrix A_0 a *pivot* for matrix B .

Theorem 1.6. For $s \notin I_0, t \notin J_0$

$$|A|_{st} = |B|_{st}.$$

A particular case of the theorem when $I_0 = J_0 = \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ is called *noncommutative Lewis Carroll identity*.

Example 1.7. Let $n = 3, I_0 = J_0 = \{2\}$. Then $|A|_{11}$ equals to

$$\begin{vmatrix} \boxed{a_{11}} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & \boxed{a_{13}} \\ a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ \boxed{a_{31}} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & \boxed{a_{33}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

1.4. Quasi-Plücker coordinates and Gauss LDU-factorization. Here we remind some definitions and results from [7, 8].

Let $A = (a_{pq}), p = 1, \dots, k, q = 1, \dots, n, k < n$ be a matrix over a skew field \mathcal{F} . Fix

$1 \leq i, j, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \leq n$ such that $i \notin I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$. For $1 \leq s \leq k$ set

$$q_{ij}^I(A) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{1i} & a_{1i_1} & \dots & a_{1i_{k-1}} \\ & \dots & & \\ a_{ki} & a_{ki_1} & \dots & a_{ki_{k-1}} \end{vmatrix}_{si}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} a_{1j} & a_{1i_1} & \dots & a_{1i_{k-1}} \\ & \dots & & \\ a_{kj} & a_{ki_1} & \dots & a_{ki_{k-1}} \end{vmatrix}_{sj}.$$

Proposition 1.8. i) $q_{ij}^I(A)$ does not depend on s ;

ii) $q_{ij}^I(gA) = q_{ij}^I(A)$ for any invertible $k \times k$ matrix g over \mathcal{F} .

We call $q_{ij}^I(A)$ left quasi-Plücker coordinates of the matrix A .

In the commutative case $q_{ij}^I = \frac{p_{ji}}{p_{iI}}$, where $p_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}$ is the standard Plücker coordinate.

Similarly, one can introduce right quasi-Plücker coordinates. Consider a matrix $B = (b_{ij}), i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, k, k < n$ over a skew field \mathcal{F} . Fix $1 \leq i, j, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1} \leq n$ such that $j \notin I = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1})$. Also fix $1 \leq t \leq k$ and set

$$r_{ij}^I(B) = \begin{vmatrix} b_{i1} & \dots & b_{ik} \\ b_{i_1 1} & \dots & b_{i_1 k} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ b_{i_{k-1} 1} & \dots & b_{i_{k-1} k} \end{vmatrix}_{it}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} b_{j1} & \dots & b_{jk} \\ b_{i_1 1} & \dots & b_{i_1 k} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ b_{i_{k-1} 1} & \dots & b_{i_{k-1} k} \end{vmatrix}_{jt}.$$

Proposition 1.9. i) $r_{ij}^I(B)$ does not depend of t ;

ii) $r_{ij}^I(Bg) = r_{ij}^I(B)$ for any invertible $k \times k$ -matrix g over \mathcal{F} .

We call $r_{ij}^I(B)$ right quasi-Plücker coordinates of the matrix B .

To describe the Gauss decomposition we need the following notations. Let $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Set $A^k = (a_{ij}), i, j = k, \dots, n, B^k = (a_{ij}), i = 1, \dots, n, j =$

k, \dots, n , and $C^k = (a_{ij}), i = k, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$. These are submatrices of sizes $(n - k + 1) \times (n - k + 1)$, $n \times (n - k + 1)$, and $(n - k + 1) \times n$ respectively.

Suppose that the quasideterminants

$$y_k = |A^k|_{kk}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are defined and invertible.

Theorem 1.10.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{\beta\alpha} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & z_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\beta\alpha} &= r_{\beta\alpha}^{1 \dots \alpha-1}(B^\alpha), \quad 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n \\ z_{\alpha\beta} &= q_{\alpha\beta}^{1 \dots \alpha-1}(C^\alpha), \quad 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n \end{aligned}$$

A noncommutative analog of the Bruhat decomposition was given in [8].

Example 1.11. For $n = 2$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_{21}a_{11}^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & |A|_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{11}^{-1}a_{12} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.5. Positive quasiminors. Most of results in this subsection are new.

For a given matrix $x \in \text{Mat}_n(R)$ and $I, J \subset [1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ denote by $x_{I,J}$ the sub-matrix with the rows I and the columns J . And, if $|I| = |J|$, i.e., when $x_{I,J}$ is a square matrix, for any $i \in I, j \in J$ denote by $|x_{I,J}|_{i,j}$ the quasideterminant of the submatrix $x_{I,J}$ with the marked position (i, j) .

Let us denote by $\Delta^i(x)$ the principal $i \times i$ -quasiminor of $x \in \text{Mat}_n(R)$, i.e.,

$$\Delta^i(x) = |x_{\{1,2,\dots,i\},\{1,2,\dots,i\}}|_{i,i}.$$

The following fact is obvious.

Lemma 1.12. For any $I, J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|I| = |J| = k$ and any $i \in I, j \in J$ there exist permutations u, v of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $I = u\{1, \dots, k\}$, $J = v\{1, \dots, k\}$, $i = u(k)$, $j = v(k)$, and for any $x \in \text{Mat}_n(R)$ we have:

$$\Delta^k(u^{-1} \cdot x \cdot v) = |x_{I,J}|_{i,j}.$$

(where we identified the permutations u and v with the corresponding $n \times n$ matrices).

Definition 1.13. For $I, J \subset [1, n]$, $|I| = |J|$, $i \in I, j \in J$ define the positive quasiminor $\Delta_{I,J}^{i,j}$ as follows.

$$\Delta_{I,J}^{i,j}(x) = (-1)^{d_i(I) + d_j(J)} |x_{I,J}|_{i,j}$$

where $d_i(I)$ (resp. $d_j(J)$) is the number of those elements of I (resp. of J) which are greater than i (resp. than j).

The definition is motivated by the fact that for a commutative ring R one has

$$\Delta_{I,J}^{i,j}(x) = \frac{\det(x_{I,J})}{\det(x_{I',J'})},$$

where $I' = I \setminus \{i\}$, $J' = J \setminus \{j\}$. That is, a positive quasiminor is a positive ratio of minors.

Let S_n be the group of permutations on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Clearly, for any subsets $I, J \subset [1, n]$ with $|I| = |J| = k$ and elements $i \in I, j \in J$ there exists a pair of permutations $u, v \in S_n$ such that $I = u(\{1, 2, \dots, k\}), J = v(\{1, 2, \dots, k\}), i = u(k), j = v(k)$. For any such pair $u, v \in S_n$ we denote

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_{u,v}^k := \Delta_{I,J}^{i,j}$$

Denote by $D_n = D_n(R)$ the set of all diagonal $n \times n$ matrices over R .

Clearly, positive quasiminors satisfy the relations:

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta_{u,v}^i(hxh') = h_{u(i)}\Delta_{u,v}^i(x)h'_{v(i)}$$

for $h = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n), h' = \text{diag}(h'_1, \dots, h'_n) \in D_n$ and

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta_{u,v}^i(x) = \Delta_{v,u}^i(x^T),$$

where $x \mapsto x^T$ is the “transpose” involutive antiautomorphism of $\text{Mat}_n(R)$.

Let σ be an involutive automorphism of $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma(x)_{ij} = x_{n+1-i, n+1-j},$$

The following fact is obvious.

Let $w_o = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$ be the longest permutation in S_n .

Lemma 1.14. *For any $u, v \in S_n$, and $x \in \text{Mat}_n(R)$ we have*

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta_{u,v}^i(\sigma(x)) = \Delta_{w_o u, w_o v}^i(x)$$

Now we present some less obvious identities for positive quasiminors. For each permutation $v \in S_n$ denote by $\ell(v)$ the number of inversions of v . Also for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ denote by s_i the simple transposition $(i, i+1) \in S_n$.

Proposition 1.15. *Let $u, v \in S_n$ and $i \in [1, n-1]$ be such that $\ell(us_i) = \ell(u) + 1$ and $\ell(vs_i) = \ell(v) + 1$. Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{us_i, vs_i}^i &= \Delta_{us_i, v}^i (\Delta_{u, v}^i)^{-1} \Delta_{u, vs_i}^i + \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1}, \\ (\Delta_{us_i, v}^i)^{-1} \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1} &= (\Delta_{u, v}^i)^{-1} \Delta_{us_i, v}^{i+1}, \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1} (\Delta_{u, vs_i}^i)^{-1} = \Delta_{u, vs_i}^{i+1} (\Delta_{u, v}^i)^{-1}, \\ \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1} (\Delta_{us_i, v}^{i+1})^{-1} &= \Delta_{us_i, v}^i (\Delta_{u, v}^i)^{-1}, (\Delta_{u, vs_i}^{i+1})^{-1} \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1} = (\Delta_{u, v}^i)^{-1} \Delta_{u, vs_i}^i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly, the fourth and the fifth identities follow from the second and the third. Using Lemma 1.12 and the Gauss factorization it suffices to take $u = v = 1$, $i = 1$ in the first three identities, i.e., work with 2×2 matrices. Then the first three identities will take respectively the following obvious form:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{22} &= x_{21}x_{11}^{-1}x_{12} + \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix}, \\ x_{21}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix} &= -x_{11}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & \boxed{x_{12}} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}, \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix} x_{12}^{-1} = - \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ \boxed{x_{21}} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} x_{11}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

One can prove the next proposition presenting some generalized Plücker relations.

Proposition 1.16. *Let $u, v \in S_n$ and $i \in [1, n-2]$. If $\ell(us_i s_{i+1} s_i) = \ell(u) + 3$, then*

$$\Delta_{us_{i+1}, v}^{i+1} = \Delta_{us_i s_{i+1}, v}^{i+1} + \Delta_{us_{i+1} s_i, v}^i (\Delta_{us_i, v}^i)^{-1} \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1} .$$

If $\ell(vs_i s_{i+1} s_i) = \ell(v) + 3$, then

$$\Delta_{u, vs_{i+1}}^{i+1} = \Delta_{u, vs_i s_{i+1}}^{i+1} + \Delta_{u, v}^{i+1} (\Delta_{u, vs_i}^i)^{-1} \Delta_{u, vs_{i+1} s_i}^i .$$

2. BASIC FACTORIZATIONS IN $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$

For $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ denote by E_{ij} the $n \times n$ matrix unit in the intersection of the i -th row and the j -th column.

Then we abbreviate $E_i := E_{i, i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

The matrix units E_1, \dots, E_{n-1} satisfy the relations: $E_i^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and

$$E_i E_j = E_j E_i$$

if $|i - j| \geq 2$,

$$E_i E_{i \pm 1} E_i = 0 .$$

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a sequence of indices $i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $x = (x_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ be an $n \times n$ -matrix over a skew field \mathcal{F} . For such an \mathbf{i} and x let us write the formal factorization,

$$(2.1) \quad x = (1 + t_1 E_{i_1})(1 + t_2 E_{i_2}) \cdots (1 + t_m E_{i_m}) ,$$

where all t_k belong to the skew field \mathcal{F} .

Let k_{ij} can be the position of i -th occurrence of the index $j - i$ in the sequence $\mathbf{i} = (1, \dots, n-1; 1, \dots, n-2; \dots; 1, 2; 1)$. That is,

$$k_{ij} = n(i-1) - \binom{i+1}{2} + j$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (1, \dots, n-1; 1, \dots, n-2; \dots; 1, 2; 1)$. We set temporarily $t_{ij} := t_{k_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ (where t_k are as in the factorization (2.1)). Then the matrix entries of the product x satisfy $(1 \leq i \leq n-k \leq n-1)$:*

$$(2.2) \quad x_{i, i+k} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n+1-i-k} t_{i_1, i_1+i} t_{i_2, i_2+i+1} t_{i_3, i_3+i+2} \cdots t_{i_k, i_k+i+k-1} .$$

Remark 2.2. In particular, after the specialization $t_{k_{ij}} := y_j$ (for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$) in (2.2) for some elements y_2, \dots, y_n , we obtain:

$$x_{i, i+k} = \sum_{i < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n} y_{j_1} y_{j_2} \cdots y_{j_k} .$$

That is, each matrix entry of so specialized matrix x is an elementary symmetric function in y_2, \dots, y_n .

Proposition 2.3. *The system (2.2) has a unique solution of the form:*

$$(2.3) \quad t_{ij} = |x_{i, j-1}|_{j-i, n-i+1} \cdot |x_{ij}|_{j-i+1, n-i+1}^{-1}$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, where x_{ij} is the $i \times i$ -submatrix of x with the rows $\{j-i+1, \dots, j\}$ and the columns $\{n-i+1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. First of all, we have the relations

$$x_{i,n} = t_{1,i+1}t_{1,i+2} \cdots t_{1,n}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore,

$$t_{1,i+1} = x_{i,n}x_{i+1,n}^{-1}$$

for all $j = 2, \dots, n$ which verifies (2.3).

Let us define a sequence $x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)}$ of matrices inductively by setting $x^{(0)} = I$ and

$$x^{(m)} = (I + t_{n-m,n+1-m}E_{12})(I + t_{n-m,n+2-m}E_{23}) \cdots (I + t_{n-m,n}E_{m,m+1}) \cdot x^{(m-1)}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Clearly, $x^{(n-1)} = x$.

Lemma 2.4. *One has for all $i \leq j \leq m+1 \leq n$:*

$$(2.4) \quad x_{ij}^{(m)} = |x_{ij}^m|_{ij}.$$

where x_{ij}^m is the $(n-m) \times (n-m)$ submatrix of x with the rows $\{i, i+1, \dots, i+n-m-1\}$ and the columns $\{j, m+2, m+3, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n-m$. By definition of $x^{(m)}$, we have a recursion for the matrix entries of $x^{(m)}$:

$$x_{i,j}^{(m)} = t_{n-m,i+n-m} \cdot x_{i+1,j}^{(m)} + x_{ij}^{(m-1)}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m+1$.

Taking $j = m+1$, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad t_{n-m,i+n-m} = x_{i,m+1}^{(m)} \cdot (x_{i+1,m+1}^{(m)})^{-1}$$

Therefore,

$$x_{ij}^{(m-1)} = x_{i,j}^{(m)} - x_{i,m+1}^{(m)} \cdot (x_{i+1,m+1}^{(m)})^{-1} x_{i+1,j}^{(m)} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{i,j}^{(m)}} & x_{i,m+1}^{(m)} \\ x_{i+1,j}^{(m)} & x_{i+1,m+1}^{(m)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, let us use the inductive hypotheses precisely in the form (2.4). Then, by the above,

$$x_{ij}^{(m-1)} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{|x_{ij}^m|_{ij}} & |x_{i,m+1}^m|_{i,m+1} \\ |x_{i+1,j}^m|_{i+1,j} & |x_{i+1,m+1}^m|_{i+1,m+1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using the Sylvester formula (Theorem 1.6) with $A = x_{i,j,m-1}$ and A_0 being a submatrix of x with the rows $\{i+1, \dots, i+n-m-1\}$ and the columns $\{m+2, m+3, \dots, n\}$, we obtain:

$$x_{ij}^{(m-1)} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{|x_{ij}^m|_{i,j}} & |x_{i,m+1}^m|_{i,m+1} \\ |x_{i+1,j}^m|_{i+1,j} & |x_{i+1,m+1}^m|_{i+1,m+1} \end{vmatrix} = |x_{ij}^{m-1}|_{ij}.$$

This finishes the induction. The lemma is proved. \square

Finally, using (2.4), (2.5), and the fact that $x_{i,m+1} = x_{i,m+1}^m$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, we obtain (2.3).

The proposition is proved. \square

Another natural factorization of generic matrices is given by the following theorem.

For a generic matrix $x = (x_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ over a skew field \mathcal{F} define the sequence of rational functions $t_{m,k} = t_{m,k}(x)$, $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n-1$ by the formula:

$$t_{m,k} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+1} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ & \dots & \\ x_{m,k-m+1} & \dots & \boxed{x_{mk}} \end{vmatrix}^{-1}}{\begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+2} & \dots & x_{1,k+1} \\ & \dots & \\ x_{m,k-m+2} & \dots & \boxed{x_{m,k+1}} \end{vmatrix}}$$

Clearly, in terms of positive quasiminors, one has:

$$t_{m,k} = (\Delta_{\{1,\dots,m\},\{k-m+1,\dots,k\}}^{m,k})^{-1} \Delta_{\{1,\dots,m\},\{k-m+2,\dots,k+1\}}^{m,k+1}.$$

Then define a sequence of matrices $x(m,k) = (x_{ij}^{(m,k)})$, $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n-1$ by the inductive formula:

$$\begin{aligned} x(1, n-1) &= x \cdot (1 - t_{1,n-1} E_{n-1}) \\ x(m, k) &= x(m, k+1) \cdot (1 - t_{m,k} E_k) \\ x(m+1, n-1) &= x(m, m) \cdot (1 - t_{m+1,n-1} E_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$x(m, k) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \preceq (m,k)} (1 + t_{i,j} E_j) = x,$$

where the order \prec on all pairs (m, k) , $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n-1$, is defined by: $(i, j) \prec (m, k)$ if and only if either $i < m$ or $i = m$, $j > k$.

Theorem 2.5. (a) For a generic matrix $x = (x_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ over a skew field \mathcal{F} one has

$$x_{ij}^{(m,k)} = 0$$

for all i, j such that $(i, j-1) \preceq (m, k)$ (i.e., for $i < j$, $i < m$ and for $i = m$, $j > k$). In particular, $x(n-1, n-1)$ is lower triangular.

b) The entries $x_{ij}^{(m,k)}$ are given by the following formulas: For $i \geq m$, $2 \leq j \leq k$

$$x_{ij}^{(m,k)} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} x_{1,j-m+1} & \dots & x_{1j} \\ & \dots & \\ x_{m-1,j-m+1} & \dots & x_{m-1,j} \\ x_{i,j-m+1} & \dots & \boxed{x_{i,j}} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} x_{1,j-m+1} & \dots & x_{1j} \\ & \dots & \\ x_{m-1,j-m+1} & \dots & x_{m-1,j} \\ x_{i,j-m+1} & \dots & \boxed{x_{i,j}} \end{vmatrix}},$$

for $i > m$, $j > k$

$$x_{ij}^{(m,k)} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} x_{1,j-m} & \dots & x_{1,j} \\ & \dots & \\ x_{m,j-m} & \dots & x_{m,j} \\ x_{i,j-m} & \dots & \boxed{x_{i,j}} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} x_{1,j-m} & \dots & x_{1,j} \\ & \dots & \\ x_{m,j-m} & \dots & x_{m,j} \\ x_{i,j-m} & \dots & \boxed{x_{i,j}} \end{vmatrix}},$$

and $x_{ij}^{(m,k)} = x_{ij}$ otherwise.

Proof. It is enough to show that matrices $x(m, k)$ satisfy conditions i)-iv) listed below.

- i) $x_{ij}^{(m,k)} = 0$ for $i < j$, $i < m$ and for $i = m$, $j > k$,
- ii) $x(1, n-1) = x(1 + E_{n-1} t_{1,n-1})$,
- iii) $x(m, k) = x(m, k+1)(1 + E_k t_{m,k})$,
- iv) $x(m+1, n-1) = x(m, m)(1 + E_{n-1} t_{m+1,n-1})$.

We proceed by induction over a totally ordered set of indices $(1, n-1), \dots, (1, 1), (2, n-1), \dots, (2, 2), \dots, (n-1, n-1)$.

It is easy to check that the entries of matrix $x(1, n-1)$ satisfy conditions i)-iv). Suppose that these conditions are satisfied for matrix $x(m, l)$. We consider then two cases: $l > m$ and $l = m$.

If $l > m$ then $l = k+1$ for $k \geq m$. Define matrix $x(m, k)$ by formula iii). Evidently, the corresponding entries of matrices $x(m, k)$ and $x(m, k+1)$ coincide except the entries with indices i, k for $i \geq m$ which are given by the formula

$$x_{ik}^{(m,k)} = x_{ik}^{(m,k+1)} t_{m,k} + x_{ik+1}^{(m,k+1)}.$$

For $i \geq m$ the product $x_{ik}^{(m,k+1)} t_{m,k}$ equals to

$$- \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{1,j} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m-1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{m-1,j} \\ x_{i,k-m+1} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{i,j}} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m,k-m+1} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{mk}} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+2} & \cdots & x_{1,k+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m,k-m+2} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{m,k+1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

According to the homological relations for quasideterminants the last expression can be written as

$$- \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{1,j} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m-1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{m-1,j} \\ \boxed{x_{i,k-m+1}} & \cdots & x_{i,j} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ & \ddots & \\ \boxed{x_{m,k-m+1}} & \cdots & x_{mk} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+2} & \cdots & x_{1,k+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m,k-m+2} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{m,k+1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

It follows that the element $x_{ik}^{(m,k)} = x_{ik}^{(m,k+1)} t_{m,k} + x_{ik+1}^{(m,k+1)}$ is zero for $i = m$. If $i > m$

$$x_{ij}^{(m,k)} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{1,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{1,k+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m,k-m+1} & \cdots & x_{m,k+1} \\ x_{i,k-m+1} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{i,k+1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

It follows from the Sylvester identity applied to the corresponding matrix with the pivot equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,k-m+2} & \cdots & x_{1,k} \\ & \ddots & \\ x_{m-1,k-m+2} & \cdots & x_{m-1,k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It shows that the entries of matrix $x(m, k)$ satisfy part b) of the theorem.

If $l = m$ one can check in a similar way that the entries of matrix $x(m+1, n-1)$ satisfy part b) of the theorem.

The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 2.6. It follows from the proof that matrices $x(m, k)$ and elements $t_{m,k}$ are uniquely defined.

Example 2.7. Let $n = 3$. Then

$$t_{1,2} = -x_{12}^{-1} x_{13}, \quad t_{1,1} = -x_{11}^{-1} x_{12},$$

$$t_{2,2} = - \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & \boxed{x_{23}} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x(1, 2) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & \boxed{x_{23}} \end{vmatrix} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{32} & \boxed{x_{33}} \end{vmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\
x(1, 1) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & \boxed{x_{23}} \end{vmatrix} \\ x_{31} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{31} & \boxed{x_{32}} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{32} & \boxed{x_{33}} \end{vmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\
x(2, 2) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix} & 0 \\ x_{31} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{31} & \boxed{x_{32}} \end{vmatrix} & \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{32} & \boxed{x_{33}} \end{vmatrix} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

3. EXAMPLES

3.1. A factorization in the Borel subgroup of $GL_3(\mathcal{F})$. Let us write the formal factorization

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ 0 & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{12} + t_{23} & t_{12}t_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & t_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

(assuming that all x_{ij}, t_{ij} are elements of a skew field \mathcal{F}).

Then we can express t_{ij} as follows.

$$t_{13} = x_{22}^{-1}x_{23}, \quad t_{12} = x_{11}^{-1}x_{13}x_{23}^{-1}x_{22}, \quad t_{23} = x_{11}^{-1}x_{12} - x_{11}^{-1}x_{13}x_{23}^{-1}x_{22} = x_{11}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{12}} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.1. The above factorization exists (and, therefore, is unique) if and only if each of x_{11}, x_{22}, x_{33} , and x_{23} is invertible.

3.2. A factorization in $GL_3(\mathcal{F})$. Let us write the formal factorization over a skew field \mathcal{F} .

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix} = h x_{-2}(t_1) x_{-1}(t_2) x_{-2}(t_3) x_2(t_4) x_1(t_5) x_2(t_6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
x_{-1}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{-2}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can express h_i and t_k as follows.

$$h_3 = x_{31}, h_2 = - \begin{vmatrix} x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} \end{vmatrix}, h_1 = \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \boxed{x_{13}} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$t_6 = x_{12}^{-1} x_{13}, t_5 = x_{11}^{-1} x_{12},$$

$$t_4 = (x_{22} - x_{21} x_{11}^{-1} x_{12})^{-1} (x_{23} - x_{22} x_{12}^{-1} x_{13}) = \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{22} & \boxed{x_{23}} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$t_1 = -x_{21}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{21} & \boxed{x_{22}} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} \end{vmatrix}, t_2 = x_{11}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \boxed{x_{13}} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix}, t_3 = - \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & \boxed{x_{12}} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \boxed{x_{13}} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In fact, if we define a sequence of matrices

$$x^{(5)} = x \cdot x_2(t_6)^{-1}, x^{(4)} = x^{(5)} x_1(t_5)^{-1}, x^{(3)} = x^{(4)} x_1(t_4)^{-1}$$

then $x^{(k-1)}$ will have exactly one more zero entry in the upper part than $x^{(k)}$:

$$x^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x'_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x'_{33} \end{pmatrix}, x^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & x''_{22} & x'_{23} \\ x_{31} & x''_{32} & x'_{33} \end{pmatrix}, x^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & x''_{22} & 0 \\ x_{31} & x''_{32} & x'''_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This determines t_6, t_5, t_4 .

And the rest of parameters $h_1, h_2, h_3, t_1, t_2, t_3$ are obtained from the equation:

$$x^{(3)} = h x_{-2}(t_1) x_{-1}(t_2) x_{-2}(t_3) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 t_2^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ h_2 t_1^{-1} & h_2 t_1^{-1} t_2 t_3^{-1} & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3(t_1 + t_2 t_3^{-1}) & h_3 t_1 t_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

3.3. A factorization in the unipotent subgroup of $GL_4(\mathcal{F})$. Let us write the formal factorization

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ 0 & 1 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{12} + t_{23} + t_{34} & t_{12} t_{13} + t_{12} t_{24} + t_{23} t_{24} & t_{12} t_{13} t_{14} \\ 0 & 1 & t_{13} + t_{24} & t_{13} t_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(assuming that all x_{ij}, t_{ij} are elements of a skew field \mathcal{F}).

Then we can express t_k as follows.

$$t_{14} = x_{34}, t_{13} = x_{24} x_{34}^{-1}, t_{12} = x_{14} x_{24}^{-1}, t_{24} = x_{23} - x_{24} x_{34}^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{23}} & x_{24} \\ 1 & x_{34} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$t_{23} = (x_{13} - x_{14} x_{24}^{-1} x_{23})(x_{23} - x_{24} x_{34}^{-1})^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{13}} & x_{14} \\ x_{23} & x_{24} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{23}} & x_{24} \\ 1 & x_{34} \end{vmatrix}^{-1},$$

$$t_{34} = x_{12} - x_{13}(x_{23} - x_{24}x_{34}^{-1})^{-1} + x_{14}x_{34}^{-1}(x_{23} - x_{24}x_{34}^{-1})^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{12}} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ 1 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ 0 & 1 & x_{34} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.2. The above factorization exists (and, therefore, is unique) if and only if x_{24} , x_{34} , and $\begin{vmatrix} \boxed{x_{23}} & x_{24} \\ 1 & x_{34} \end{vmatrix}$ are invertible in \mathcal{F} .

4. DOUBLE BRUHAT CELLS IN $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$ AND THEIR FACTORIZATIONS

4.1. Structure of $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$. Throughout this and the next section we denote $G := GL_n(\mathcal{F})$ and will use the abbreviation (for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$):

$$(4.1) \quad [a, b] = \begin{cases} \{a, a+1, \dots, b\} & \text{if } a \leq b \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let U (resp. U^-) be the upper (resp. lower) unitriangular subgroup of G . For $i \in [1, r]$, we define the elementary unitriangular matrices $x_i(t)$ and $y_i(t)$ by:

$$x_i(t) = I + tE_i, \quad y_i(t) = I + tF_i$$

for $i \in [1, n-1]$, where $E_i = E_{i,i+1}$, $F_i = E_{i+1,i}$ are the corresponding matrix units (in the notation of Section 2).

Let H denote the subgroup of all diagonal matrices in G . Let B (resp. B^-) be the subgroup of all upper (resp. lower) triangular matrices in G . Clearly, $B = HU$, $B^- = HU^-$, and $H = B^- \cap B$.

We denote by $G_0 = B^-U$ the open subset of elements $x \in G$ that have Gaussian LDU -decomposition; this (unique) decomposition will be written as $x = [x]_-[x]_+$ (where $[x]_- \in B^-$, but not necessarily in U^-). For any x in the Gauss cell $G_0 = B^- \cdot U$ denote by $[x]_0$ the diagonal component of the Gauss LDU -factorization. In particular, $[x]_0 = [[x]_-]_0$ for any $x \in G_0$.

For each $i \in [1, n-1]$, let $\varphi_i : GL_2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow G$ denote the embedding corresponding to the 2×2 block at the intersection of the i -th and $(i+1)$ st rows and the i -th and $(i+1)$ st columns. Thus we have

$$x_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also set

$$h_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in H, \quad x_{-i}(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix}$$

for any i and any $t \in \mathcal{F}^\times$. By definition,

$$x_{-i}(t) = y_i(t)h_i(t^{-1}) = h_i(t^{-1})y_i(t^{-1}).$$

More generally, it is easy to see that for each $i \in [1, n-1]$ and any diagonal matrix $h = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H$ one has:

$$(4.2) \quad hx_i(t)h^{-1} = x_i(h_i t h_{i+1}^{-1}), \quad h^{-1}y_i(t)h = y_i(h_{i+1}^{-1} t h_i)$$

Hence

$$(4.3) \quad h_j(s)x_i(t) = x_i(s^{\varepsilon_{ji}} t s^{\varepsilon_{ij}})h_j(s), \quad y_i(t)h_j(s) = h_j(s)y_i(s^{\varepsilon_{ij}} t s^{\varepsilon_{ji}})$$

for any $i, j \in [1, n-1]$, where $\varepsilon_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \delta_{i,j-1}$.

Lemma 4.1.

- (i) For each $i \in [1, n-1]$ we have: $x_{-i}(s)x_i(t) = x_i(s^{-1}t(s+t)^{-1})x_{-i}(s+t)$.
- (ii) For each $i \in [1, n-2]$ we have: $x_{-i}(s)x_{i+1}(t) = x_{i+1}(st)x_{-i}(s)$.
- (iii) For each $i \in [2, n-1]$ we have: $x_{-i}(s)x_{i-1}(t) = x_{i-1}(ts)x_{-i}(s)$.
- (iv) For any $i, j \in [1, n-1]$ such that $|i-j| > 1$ we have:

$$x_{-i}(s)x_j(t) = x_j(t)x_{-i}(s) .$$

Proof. Part (i) follows from the obvious identity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s^{-1} & 0 \\ 1 & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s^{-1} & s^{-1}t \\ 1 & s+t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s^{-1}t(s+t)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (s+t)^{-1} & 0 \\ 1 & s+t \end{pmatrix}$$

for $s, t \in \mathcal{F}^\times$.

Part (ii) follows from

$$\begin{pmatrix} s^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & s & st \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & st \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $s, t \in \mathcal{F}^\times$.

Part (iii) follows from

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ts & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & s \end{pmatrix}$$

for $s, t \in \mathcal{F}^\times$.

And part (iv) is obvious. □

The symmetric group S_n of G is naturally embedded into G via

$$(i, i+1) \mapsto \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i \in [1, n-1]$. We also define a representative \overline{s}_i of the transposition $(i, i+1)$ by

$$\overline{s}_i = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The elements \overline{s}_i satisfy the braid relations in W ; thus the representative \overline{w} can be unambiguously defined for any $w \in W$ by requiring that $\overline{uv} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{v}$ whenever $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$.

4.2. Bruhat cells and Double Bruhat cells. The group G has two *Bruhat decompositions*, with respect to opposite Borel subgroups B and B^- :

$$G = \bigcup_{u \in S_n} BuB = \bigcup_{v \in S_n} B^-vB^- .$$

Now define the *Schubert cell* $U(w) := wU^-w^{-1} \cap U$ for $w \in S_n$. Then the following obvious fact demonstrates that the *Bruhat cells* BuB and B^-vB^- behave similarly to their commutative counterparts.

Lemma 4.2. (a) For each $u \in S_n$ one has:

$$BuB = U(u)uB = BuU(u), \quad U\overline{u}U = U(u)\overline{u}U = UuU(u^{-1}) .$$

(b) For each $v \in S_n$ one has:

$$B^-vB^- = B^-U(v)\overline{v} = B^-U(v)\overline{v^{-1}}^{-1} = \overline{v}U(v^{-1})B^- = \overline{v^{-1}}^{-1}U(v^{-1})B^- .$$

Definition 4.3. For any permutations $u, v \in S_n$ define the *double Bruhat cell* $G^{u,v}$ by $G^{u,v} = BuB \cap B^-vB^-$.

In this section we shall concentrate on the following subset $L^{u,v} \subset G^{u,v}$ which we call a *reduced double Bruhat cell*:

$$(4.4) \quad L^{u,v} = U\bar{u}U \cap B^-vB^-.$$

Remark 4.4. In the commutative case the reduced double Bruhat cells are symplectic leafs of the Poisson-Lie structure on $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ (see e.g., [9]). These cells also emerge in the study of *total positivity* ([2]) on GL_n .

The equations defining $L^{u,v}$ inside $G^{u,v}$ look as follows.

Proposition 4.5. *An element $x \in G^{u,v}$ belongs to $L^{u,v}$ if and only if $[\bar{u}^{-1}x]_0 = 1$, or equivalently if $\Delta_{u,e}^i(x) = 1$ for each $i \in [1, n]$.*

The maximal torus H acts freely on $G^{u,v}$ by left (or right) translations, and $L^{u,v}$ is a section of this action. Thus $L^{u,v}$ is naturally identified with $G^{u,v}/H$, and all properties of $G^{u,v}$ can be translated in a straightforward way into the corresponding properties of $L^{u,v}$.

A *double reduced word* for a pair $u, v \in S_n$ is a reduced word for an element (u, v) of the group $S_n \times S_n$. To avoid confusion, we will use the indices $-1, \dots, -r$ for the simple reflections in the first copy of W , and $1, \dots, r$ for the second copy. A double reduced word for (u, v) is simply a shuffle of a reduced word \mathbf{i} for u written in the alphabet $[-1, -r]$ (we will denote such a word by $-\mathbf{i}$) and a reduced word \mathbf{i}' for v written in the alphabet $[1, r]$. We denote the set of double reduced words for (u, v) by $R(u, v)$.

For any sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ of indices from the alphabet $[1, r] \cup [-1, -r]$, let us define the *product map* $x_{\mathbf{i}} : (\mathcal{F}^\times)^m \rightarrow G$ by

$$(4.5) \quad x_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m) = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m).$$

4.3. Factorization problem for reduced double Bruhat cells. In this section, we address the following *factorization problem* for $L^{u,v}$: for any double reduced word $\mathbf{i} \in R(u, v)$, find explicit formulas for the inverse birational isomorphism $x_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}$ between $L^{u,v}$ and $(\mathcal{F}^\times)^m$, thus expressing the *factorization parameters* t_k in terms of the product $x = x_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in L^{u,v}$.

Definition 4.6. Let $x \mapsto x^\iota$ be the involutive antiautomorphism of G given by

$$x^\iota = J_n x^{-1} J_n$$

for any $x \in G$, where $J_n = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1, \dots, (-1)^n)$.

We will refer to the anti-automorphism $x \mapsto x^\iota$ as to the *positive inverse* in G . It is easy to see that

$$(4.6) \quad a^\iota = a^{-1} \quad (a \in H), \quad x_i(t)^\iota = x_i(t), \quad y_i(t)^\iota = y_i(t).$$

The following fact is a direct noncommutative analogue of Theorem 1.6 from [4].

Lemma 4.7. *For any $u, v \in S_n$ one has:*

$$(BuB)^\iota = Bu^{-1}B, \quad (U\bar{u}U)^\iota = U\overline{u^{-1}}U, \quad (B^-vB^-)^\iota = B^-v^{-1}B.$$

In particular, $(G^{u,v})^\iota = G^{u^{-1}, v^{-1}}$.

Definition 4.8. For any $u, v \in W$, the twist map $\psi^{u,v} : L^{u,v} \rightarrow G$ is defined by

$$(4.7) \quad \psi^{u,v}(x) = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^\iota (x^\iota)^{-1} ([\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+)^{\iota}.$$

Theorem 4.9. The twist map $\psi^{u,v}$ is an isomorphism between $L^{u,v}$ and $L^{v,u}$. The inverse isomorphism is $\psi^{v,u}$.

Proof. The proof essentially follows the pattern of the commutative case from [4] and [2]. We need the following obvious fact.

Lemma 4.10. The twist map $\psi^{u,v}$ satisfies:

$$(4.8) \quad \psi^{u,v}(x) = ([\bar{v}x^\iota]_+)^{\iota} \bar{v} ([\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+)^{\iota} = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^\iota \bar{u}^{-1} [\bar{u}^{-1}((x)^\iota)^{-1}]_-.$$

The restriction of $\psi^{u,v}$ to $L^{u,v} \cap B^-U$ is a map $L^{u,v} \cap B^-U \rightarrow L^{u,v} \cap B^-U$ given by the formula:

$$(4.9) \quad \psi^{u,v}(x_- \cdot x_+) = ([x_+v^{-1}]_-)^\iota \cdot ([\bar{u}^{-1}x_-]_+)^{\iota}.$$

In particular, the twist map $\psi^{u,e} : L^{u,e} \rightarrow L^{e,u}$ is given by

$$(4.10) \quad \psi^{u,e}(x) = ([\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+)^{\iota}.$$

And $\psi^{e,v} : L^{e,v} \rightarrow L^{v,e}$ is given by

$$(4.11) \quad \psi^{e,v}(x) = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^\iota.$$

The formula (4.8) guarantees that $\psi^{u,v}(L^{u,v}) \subset U\bar{v}U \cap B^-uB^- = L^{v,u}$, i.e., $\psi^{u,v}$ is a well-defined map $L^{u,v} \rightarrow L^{v,u}$.

Finally, we prove that $\psi^{v,u}$ is the inverse of $\psi^{u,v}$, i.e., $\psi^{v,u} \circ \psi^{u,v} = id$. Given $x \in L^{u,v}$, denote $y = \psi^{u,v}(x)$. By definition (4.7), we have

$$y = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^\iota (x^\iota)^{-1} ([\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+)^{\iota}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$(y^\iota)^{-1} = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^{-1} x ([\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+)^{-1},$$

and

$$x = [xv^{-1}]_- (y^\iota)^{-1} [\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+.$$

Since

$$\psi^{v,u}(y) = ([yu^{-1}]_-)^\iota (y^\iota)^{-1} ([\bar{v}^{-1}y]_+)^{\iota},$$

in order to prove that $\psi^{v,u}(y) = x$ it suffices to show that

$$([yu^{-1}]_-)^\iota = [xv^{-1}]_-, \quad ([\bar{v}^{-1}y]_+)^{\iota} = [\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(4.12) \quad [yu^{-1}]_- = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^\iota, \quad [\bar{v}^{-1}y]_+ = [\bar{u}^{-1}x]_+.$$

Let us prove the first identity (4.12). Denote temporarily $z = ([xv^{-1}]_-)^\iota$. Then (4.8) implies that

$$yu^{-1} = z \cdot \bar{u}^{-1}^{-1} [\bar{u}^{-1}((x)^\iota)^{-1}]_- \bar{u}^{-1}.$$

According to Lemma 4.7, for any $x \in U\bar{u}U$ we have: $((x)^\iota)^{-1} \in U\bar{u}^{-1}^{-1}U$, and, furthermore, by Lemma 4.2(a), $\bar{u}^{-1}x^\iota \in \bar{u}^{-1}U(u)\bar{u}^{-1}^{-1}U \subset U^- \cdot U$, and $[\bar{u}^{-1}((x)^\iota)^{-1}]_- \in \bar{u}^{-1}U(u)\bar{u}^{-1}^{-1}$. Hence $\bar{u}^{-1}^{-1} [\bar{u}^{-1}((x)^\iota)^{-1}]_- \bar{u}^{-1} \in U$. Therefore,

$$[yu^{-1}]_- = [z \cdot \bar{u}^{-1}^{-1} [\bar{u}^{-1}((x)^\iota)^{-1}]_- \bar{u}^{-1}]_- = [z]_- = z.$$

This proves the first identity in (4.12). Now let us prove the second identity in (4.12). Again denote temporarily $t = (\overline{u}^{-1}x)_+^\iota$. Then (4.8) implies that

$$\overline{v}^{-1}y = \overline{v}^{-1}[(\overline{v}x^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \overline{v} \cdot t.$$

According to Lemma 4.2(b), for any $x \in B^-vB^-$ one has $x\overline{v}^{-1} \in B \cdot U(v)$, and $[(\overline{v}x^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \in U(v)$. Hence $\overline{v}^{-1}[(\overline{v}x^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \overline{v} \in \overline{v}^{-1}U(v)\overline{v} \subset U^-$. Therefore,

$$[\overline{v}^{-1}y]_+ = [\overline{v}^{-1}[(\overline{v}x^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \overline{v} \cdot t]_+ = [t]_+ = t.$$

This proves the second identity in (4.12).

Theorem 4.9 is proved. \square

Now let us fix a pair $(u, v) \in S_n \times S_n$ and a double reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in R(u, v)$. Recall that \mathbf{i} is a shuffle of a reduced word for u written in the alphabet $[-1, -r]$ and a reduced word for v written in the alphabet $[1, r]$. In particular, the length m of \mathbf{i} is equal to $\ell(u) + \ell(v)$.

We will use the convention that $s_{-i} = 1$ for each $i \in [1, n-1]$. For $k \in [1, m]$, denote

$$(4.13) \quad u_{\geq k} = s_{-i_m} s_{-i_{m-1}} \cdots s_{-i_k}, \quad u_{>k} = s_{-i_m} s_{-i_{m-1}} \cdots s_{-i_{k+1}},$$

$$(4.14) \quad v_{\leq k} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}, \quad v_{<k} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}.$$

For example, if $\mathbf{i} = (-2, 1, -3, 3, 2, -1, -2, 1, -1)$, then, say, $u_{\geq 7} = s_1 s_2$ and $v_{<7} = s_1 s_3 s_2$.

Now we are ready to state our solution to the factorization problem.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a double reduced word for (u, v) , and suppose an element $x \in L^{u,v}$ can be factored as $x = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m)$, with all t_k nonzero elements of \mathcal{F} . Then the factorization parameters t_k are determined by the following formula:*

$$(4.15) \quad t_k = \begin{cases} \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{>k}}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{\geq k}}^i(y) = \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{\geq k}}^{i+1}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{>k}}^{i+1}(y) & \text{if } i_k < 0 \\ \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, u_{>k}}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{>k}}^{i+1}(y) = \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{>k}}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, u_{>k}}^{i+1}(y) & \text{if } i_k > 0 \end{cases}$$

where $y = \psi^{u,v}(x)$ and $i = |i_k|$.

Proof. First, let us list some important properties of positive quasiminors. Recall that in Section 1.5, for $i \in [1, n]$ we defined the *principal quasi-minor* Δ^i by:

$$\Delta^i(x) = |x_{[1,i],[1,i]}|_{i,i}$$

for any $x \in G$, where $x_{[1,i],[1,i]}$ denotes the principal $i \times i$ submatrix of x . In particular, $\Delta^1(x) = x_{11}$ and $\Delta^n(x) = |x|_{n,n}$.

The following fact is obvious.

Lemma 4.12. *The principal quasi-minors are invariant under the left multiplication by U^- and the right multiplication by U , i.e.,*

$$\Delta^i(x_- x x_+) = \Delta^i(x)$$

for any $x_+ \in U$, $x_- \in U^-$, $x \in G$ (in particular, $\Delta^i(x) = \Delta^i([x]_0) = ([x]_0)_{ii}$). Furthermore, for any $u, v \in S_n$ one has

$$(4.16) \quad \Delta_{u,v}^i(x) = \Delta^i(\overline{u}^{-1}x\overline{v}).$$

Also one has:

$$\Delta_{u,v}^i(x^\iota) = \Delta_{w_\circ v w_\circ, w_\circ u w_\circ}^{n+1-i}(x)^{-1}.$$

We will prove (4.15) by the induction in the $l(u)+l(v)$. The base of the induction with $u = v = e$ is obvious.

We will consider the following four cases:

Case I. $u \neq e$, $v \neq e$ and \mathbf{i} is *separated*, i.e., $-i_1, \dots, -i_\ell \in [1, n-1]$ and $i_{\ell+1}, \dots, i_m \in [1, n-1]$ for some ℓ , or, equivalently, $u = s_{-i_1} \cdots s_{-i_\ell}$ and $v = s_{i_{\ell+1}} \cdots s_{i_m}$.

Case II. $u \neq e$, $v \neq e$ and \mathbf{i} is not separated.

Case III. $u = e$, $v \neq e$.

Case IV. $u \neq e$, $v = e$.

Consider Case I first.

Denote

$$x_- := x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_\ell}(t_\ell), \quad x_+ := x_{i_{\ell+1}}(t_{\ell+1}) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m).$$

Clearly, $x_- \in L^{u,e}$, $x_+ \in L^{e,v}$, and $x = x_- \cdot x_+ \in L^{u,v}$. Furthermore, the inductive hypothesis (4.15) for x_- says that:

$$t_k = \Delta_{e, u_{>k}}^i(y_+)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{<k}, u_{\geq k}}^i(y_+) = \Delta_{e, u_{\geq k}}^{i+1}(y_+)^{-1} \Delta_{e, u_{>k}}^{i+1}(y_+)$$

for $k \in [1, \ell]$, where $y_+ = \psi^{u,e}(x_-)$, $i = |i_k|$.

And the inductive hypothesis (4.15) for x_+ says that

$$t_k = \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, e}^i(y_-)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{<k}, e}^{i+1}(y_-) = \Delta_{v_{<k}, e}^i(y_-)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, e}^{i+1}(y_-)$$

for $k \in [\ell+1, m]$, where $y_- = \psi^{e,v}(x_+)$, $i = |i_k|$.

According to (4.9), (4.10), and (4.11),

$$\psi^{u,v}(x) = ([x_+ \overline{v^{-1}}]_-)^\iota \cdot ([\overline{u}^{-1} x_-]_+)^{\iota} = y_- y_+.$$

Note also that $\Delta_{e,w}^j(y_+) = \Delta_{e,w}^j(y_- y_+)$ and $\Delta_{w,e}^j(y_-) = \Delta_{w,e}^j(y_- y_+)$ for any $w \in S_n$ and $j \in [1, n]$. Finally, taking into the account that $v_{\leq k} = v_{<k} = e$ for each $k \leq \ell$, and $u_{\geq k} = u_{>k} = e$ for each $k > \ell$, we obtain (4.15) for $x = x_- x_+$. This finishes Case I.

Now consider Case II. We say that given \mathbf{i} , a pair $(i_\ell, i_{\ell+1})$ is an inversion if $i_\ell > 0$ and $i_{\ell+1} < 0$. Clearly, \mathbf{i} has no inversions if and only if \mathbf{i} is separated. Here we will proceed by the induction in the number of inversions. The base of the induction is the already considered Case I – no inversions. Assume that \mathbf{i}' has an inversion $(i'_\ell, i'_{\ell+1}) = (i, -j)$, where $i, j \in [1, n-1]$. Let \mathbf{i} be obtained from \mathbf{i}' by switching i_ℓ and $i_{\ell+1}$, that is, \mathbf{i} has one inversion less than \mathbf{i} . According to the inductive hypothesis, (4.15) holds for the factorization (relative to \mathbf{i}):

$$x = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_{\ell-1}}(t_{\ell-1}) x_{-j}(t_\ell) x_i(t_{\ell+1}) x_{i_{\ell+2}}(t_{\ell+2}) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m).$$

Note that, according to Lemma 4.1,

$$x_{-j}(t_\ell) x_i(t_{\ell+1}) = x_i(t'_\ell) x_{-j}(t'_{\ell+1}),$$

where

$$(4.17) \quad (t'_\ell, t'_{\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} (t_{\ell+1}, t_\ell) & \text{if } |i-j| > 1 \\ (t_\ell t_{\ell+1}, t_\ell) & \text{if } i-j = 1 \\ (t_{\ell+1} t_\ell, t_\ell) & \text{if } i-j = -1 \\ (t_\ell^{-1} t_{\ell+1} (t_\ell + t_{\ell+1})^{-1}, t_\ell + t_{\ell+1}) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}.$$

We have to prove that each of the parameters $t_1, \dots, t_{\ell-1}, t'_\ell, t'_{\ell+1}, t_{\ell+2}, \dots, t_m$ in the factorization (relative to \mathbf{i}')

$$x = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_{\ell-1}}(t_{\ell-1}) x_i(t'_\ell) x_{-j}(t'_{\ell+1}) x_{i_{\ell+2}}(t_{\ell+2}) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m)$$

is given by (4.15) for \mathbf{i}' .

Obviously, if $k \neq \ell, \ell+1$, then $v_{<k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = v_{<k}^{\mathbf{i}}, v_{\leq k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = v_{\leq k}^{\mathbf{i}}, u_{>k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = u_{>k}^{\mathbf{i}}, u_{\geq k}^{\mathbf{i}'} = u_{\geq k}^{\mathbf{i}}$. Therefore, each $t_k, k \neq \ell, \ell+1$ in the latter decomposition is given by (4.15) for \mathbf{i}' . It remains prove that t'_ℓ and $t'_{\ell+1}$ are both given by (4.15) for \mathbf{i}' . Denote temporarily $u' = u_{>\ell}, v' = v_{<\ell}$ so that (taking into account that $i_\ell = i'_{\ell+1} - j, i_{\ell+1} = i'_\ell = i$) we have $v_{\leq \ell}^{\mathbf{i}'} = v_{<\ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}} = v', v_{\leq \ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}'} = v' s_i, u_{\geq \ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}'} = u_{>\ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}} = u', u_{\geq \ell}^{\mathbf{i}'} = u' s_j$. Therefore, (4.15) for \mathbf{i} with $k = \ell$ and $k = \ell+1$ becomes (with the convention $y = \psi^{u,v}(x)$, $y' = \overline{v'}^{-1} y \overline{u'}$):

$$\begin{aligned} t_\ell &= \Delta_{e,e}^j(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_j}^j(y') = \Delta_{e,s_j}^{j+1}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^{j+1}(y') , \\ t_{\ell+1} &= \Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^{i+1}(y') = \Delta_{e,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y') . \end{aligned}$$

Taking into the account that $v_{<\ell}^{\mathbf{i}'} = v', v_{\leq \ell}^{\mathbf{i}'} = v'_{<\ell+1} = v_{\leq \ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}} = v' s_i, u_{>\ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}'} = u', u_{\geq \ell+1}^{\mathbf{i}'} = u'_{>\ell+1} = u'_{\geq \ell} = u' s_j$ we have only to prove that

$$(4.18) \quad t'_\ell = \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_j}^{i+1}(y') , = \Delta_{e,s_j}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^{i+1}(y') .$$

$$(4.19) \quad t'_{\ell+1} = \Delta_{s_i,e}^j(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^j(y') = \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^{j+1}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{j+1}(y')$$

Consider the following four sub-cases:

1. $|i-j| > 1$. Then clearly, $\Delta_{s_i,s_j}^i(y') = \Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')$, $\Delta_{e,s_j}^{i+1}(y') = \Delta_{e,e}^{i+1}(y')$, and $\Delta_{s_i,s_j}^j(y') = \Delta_{e,s_j}^j(y')$, $\Delta_{s_i,e}^j(y') = \Delta_{e,e}^j(y')$. Finally, by (4.17), $t'_\ell = t_\ell t_{\ell+1}$ and $t'_{\ell+1} = t'_\ell$. All these immediately imply (4.18) and (4.19).

2. $j = i-1$. According to (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned} t_\ell &= \Delta_{e,s_j}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^i(y'), t_{\ell+1} = \Delta_{e,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y') , \\ t'_\ell &= t_\ell t_{\ell+1} = \Delta_{e,s_j}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y') = \Delta_{e,s_j}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^{i+1}(y') , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.18). Similarly, we obtain

$$t'_{\ell+1} = t_\ell = \Delta_{e,e}^j(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_j}^j(y') = \Delta_{s_i,e}^j(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^j(y') ,$$

which proves (4.19).

3. $j = i+1$. According to (4.17),

$$t'_\ell = t_{\ell+1} t_\ell = (\Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^{i+1}(y')) (\Delta_{e,e}^j(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_j}^j(y')) = \Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_j}^j(y') ,$$

which proves (4.18) because $\Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y') = \Delta_{s_i,s_{i+1}}^i(y')$. Similarly, we obtain

$$t'_{\ell+1} = t_\ell = \Delta_{e,s_j}^{j+1}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^{j+1}(y') = \Delta_{s_i,s_j}^{j+1}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{j+1}(y') ,$$

which proves (4.19).

4. $i = j$. According to (4.17),

$$t'_{\ell+1} = t_\ell + t_{\ell+1} = \Delta_{e,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y') + \Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^{i+1}(y') =$$

$$\Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')^{-1} (\Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y') \Delta_{e,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y') + \Delta_{e,e}^{i+1}(y')) = \Delta_{s_i,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_i}^i(y')$$

by (1.6). This proves (4.19).

Furthermore, according to (4.17),

$$t'_l t'_{l+1} = t_\ell^{-1} t_{\ell+1} = (\Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{e,e}^i(y')) (\Delta_{e,e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y')) = \Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y') .$$

Therefore, using already proved (4.19), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} t'_\ell &= \Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y') (t'_\ell)^{-1} = \Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y') (\Delta_{s_i,e}^{i+1}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_i}^{i+1}(y')) \\ &= \Delta_{e,s_i}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{s_i,s_i}^{i+1}(y') , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.18). This finishes Case II.

Now we consider Case III: $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$, where all $i_k > 0$, i.e. \mathbf{i} is a reduced word for v . And let $i = i_m$ so that $v = v' s_i$ and $l(v) = l(v') + 1$. Let

$$x = x_i(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m), \quad x' = x_i(t_1) \cdots x_{i_{m-1}}(t_{m-1}) x_{-i}(t_m^{-1}) .$$

It is easy to see that

$$x \overline{s_i} x_i(t_m^{-1}) = x' .$$

Indeed, this follows from

$$(4.20) \quad x_{-i}(t^{-1}) = x_i(t) \overline{s_i} x_i(-t^{-1}) ,$$

which, in its turn, follows from the obvious identity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 1 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Note that x' is factored along the reduced word $\mathbf{i}' = (i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}; -i)$ for (s_i, v') . Therefore, we can use the already proved Case II for the \mathbf{i}' -factorization of x' . Formula (4.15) for the factorization parameters $t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, t_m^{-1}$ of x' takes the form:

$$t_k = \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, s_i}^{i_k}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{v_{< k}, s_i}^{i_k+1}(y') = \Delta_{v_{< k}, s_i}^{i_k}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, s_i}^{i_k+1}(y')$$

for $k \in [1, m-1]$, and

$$t_m^{-1} = \Delta_{v', e}^i(y')^{-1} \Delta_{v', s_i}^i(y') = \Delta_{v', s_i}^{i+1}(y')^{-1} \Delta_{v', e}^{i+1}(y') ,$$

where $y' = \psi^{s_i, v'}(x')$.

Clearly, in order to finish Case III, i.e., to verify formula (4.15) for the \mathbf{i} -factorization parameters t_1, \dots, t_m of $x \in L^{e, v}$, it will suffice to prove that for any $w \in S_n$, $j \in [1, n]$ one has:

$$\Delta_{w, s_i}^j(y') = \Delta_{w, e}^j(y) ,$$

where $y = \psi^{e, v}(x)$. Note that $\Delta_{w, s_i}^j(y') = \Delta_{w, e}^j(y' \overline{s_i})$. Thus, it will suffice to prove

$$[y' \overline{s_i}]_- = y .$$

Taking into the account that

$$x \overline{s_i} x_i(t^{-1}) = x' ,$$

all we need to prove is the following fact.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $v = v' s_i$ for some i such that $l(v) = l(v') + 1$. Then for any $x'' \in L^{e, v'}$ and any $t \in \mathcal{F}^\times$ one has*

$$\psi^{e, v}(x'' x_i(t)) = [\psi^{s_i, v'}(x'' x_{-i}(t^{-1})) \overline{s_i}]_- .$$

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 4.10,

$$\psi^{e,v}(x''x_i(t)) = ([x''x_i(t)\overline{v^{-1}}]_-)^\iota.$$

Using (4.20), we obtain:

$$x''x_i(t)\overline{v^{-1}} = x''x_i(t)\overline{s_i v'^{-1}} = x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})x_i(-t^{-1})\overline{v'^{-1}} = x'x_{-i}(t^{-1})\overline{v'^{-1}}u_+$$

for some $u_+ \in U$.

Therefore,

$$[x''x_i(t)\overline{v^{-1}}]_- = [x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})\overline{v'^{-1}}u_+]_- = [x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})\overline{v'^{-1}}]_-.$$

Summarizing, we obtain:

$$\psi^{e,v}(x''x_i(t)) = ([x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})\overline{v'^{-1}}]_-)^\iota$$

On the other hand, by the second identity of (4.8) we have for any $x' \in L^{s_i, v'}$:

$$[\psi^{s_i, v'}(x')\overline{s_i}]_- = ([x'\overline{v'^{-1}}]_-)^\iota \overline{s_i}^{-1} [\overline{s_i}((x')^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \overline{s_i}]_- = ([x'\overline{v'^{-1}}]_-)^\iota$$

because $z = [\overline{s_i}((x')^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \in U \cap \varphi_i(GL_2)$ and, therefore, $\overline{s_i}^{-1} z \overline{s_i} \in B^-$. Thus, taking $x' = x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})$, we obtain

$$[\psi^{s_i, v'}(x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})\overline{s_i})]_- = ([x''x_{-i}(t^{-1})\overline{v'^{-1}}]_-)^\iota = \psi^{e,v}(x'x_i(t)).$$

Lemma is proved. \square

This finishes Case III.

Case IV is almost identical to the Case III.

Therefore, Theorem 4.11 is proved. \square

Remark 4.14. The commutative version of (4.15) is

$$(4.21) \quad t_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta_{v <_k \omega_i, u \geq_k \omega_i}(y)}{\Delta_{v <_k \omega_i, u >_k \omega_i}(y)} & \text{if } i_k < 0 \\ \frac{\Delta_{v <_k \omega_{i-1}, u \geq_k \omega_{i-1}}(y) \Delta_{v <_k \omega_{i+1}, u \geq_k \omega_{i+1}}(y)}{\Delta_{v <_k \omega_i, u \geq_k \omega_i}(y) \Delta_{v <_k \omega_i, u >_k \omega_i}(y)} & \text{if } i_k > 0 \end{cases}$$

4.4. Factorizations of $G^{u,v}$. In this section we extend the result of Theorem 4.11 to factorizations in $G^{u,v}$. In order to do so we first have to extend the twist $\psi^{u,v}$ to an isomorphism $G^{u,v} \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{v,u}$ (which we will denote in the same way) by

$$(4.22) \quad \psi^{u,v}(hx) = h\psi^{u,v}(x)$$

for any $h \in H$ and any $x \in L^{u,v}$.

In fact, formula (4.22) means that the twist $\psi^{u,v}$ is a *left H -equivariant* map $G^{u,v} \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{v,u}$.

Recall that for any g in the Gauss cell $G_0 = B^- \cdot U$ we denote by $[g]_0$ the diagonal component of the Gauss factorization.

Lemma 4.15. *The general twist $\psi^{u,v} : G^{u,v} \xrightarrow{\sim} G^{v,u}$ is given by:*

$$(4.23) \quad \psi^{u,v}(g) = u([\overline{u}^{-1}g]_0) \cdot ([g\overline{v^{-1}}]_-)^\iota (g^\iota)^{-1} ([\overline{u}^{-1}g]_+)^{-\iota}.$$

for any $g \in G^{u,v}$. Other formulas for $\psi^{u,v}$ are:

$$\psi^{u,v}(g) = u([\overline{u}^{-1}g]_0)[(\overline{v}g^\iota)^{-1}]_+ \overline{v}([\overline{u}^{-1}g]_+)^{-\iota}.$$

$$\psi^{u,v}(g) = u([\overline{u}^{-1}g]_0) \cdot ([g\overline{v^{-1}}]_-)^\iota \overline{u^{-1}}^{-1} [\overline{u^{-1}}((g)^\iota)^{-1}]_-.$$

Also $\psi^{u,v}$ is symmetric: $(\psi^{u,v})^{-1} = \psi^{v,u}$. In particular, for $u = v$ the twist $\psi^{v,v}$ is an involution on $G^{v,v}$.

Proof. Clearly, for any $h \in H$ and $x \in U\bar{u}U$ we have

$$[\bar{u}^{-1}hx]_0 = [(\bar{u}^{-1}h\bar{u}) \cdot \bar{u}^{-1}hx]_0 = (\bar{u}^{-1}h\bar{u}) \cdot [\bar{u}^{-1}hx]_0 = \bar{u}^{-1}h\bar{u} = u^{-1}(h).$$

Therefore, taking $g = hx$, where $h \in H$ and $x \in L^{u,v}$, and taking into the account (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain the desirable formulas. \square

Theorem 4.11 admits the following obvious generalization.

Theorem 4.16. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a double reduced word for (u, v) , and suppose an element $x \in G^{u,v}$ can be factored as $x = hx_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m)$, with all t_k nonzero elements of \mathcal{F} , and $h = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H$. Then the factorization parameters $h_1, \dots, h_n, t_1, \dots, t_m$ are determined by the following formulas:

$$(4.24) \quad h_i = \Delta_{u,e}^{u^{-1}(i)}(x)$$

for $i \in [1, n]$, and

$$(4.25) \quad t_k = \begin{cases} \Delta_{v < k, u > k}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v < k, u \geq k}^i(y) = \Delta_{v < k, u \geq k}^{i+1}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v < k, u > k}^{i+1}(y) & \text{if } i_k < 0 \\ \Delta_{v \leq k, u > k}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v < k, u > k}^{i+1}(y) = \Delta_{v < k, u > k}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v \leq k, u > k}^{i+1}(y) & \text{if } i_k > 0 \end{cases}$$

where $y = \psi^{u,v}(x)$ and $i = |i_k|$.

The following two special cases of Theorem 4.16 will be of particular importance: $(u, v) = (e, w_0)$ and $(u, v) = (w, e)$ where w_0 is the longest element in S_n . In these cases, Definition 4.8 and Theorem 4.9 can be simplified as follows.

The formula (4.15) now takes the following form.

Corollary 4.17. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a reduced word for $w \in S_n$, and t_1, \dots, t_m be non-zero elements of \mathcal{F} .

(i) If $x = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m)$ then the factorization parameters h_1, \dots, h_n and t_1, \dots, t_m are given by

$$h_i = \Delta_{e,e}^i(x) = x_{ii}$$

for $i \in [1, n]$, and

$$t_k = \Delta_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}, e}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}, e}^{i+1}(y) = \Delta_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}, e}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}, e}^{i+1}(y),$$

where $y = \psi^{e,w}(x)$ is given by (4.11), and $i = i_k$.

(ii) If $x = hx_{-i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{-i_m}(t_m)$ then the factorization parameters h_1, \dots, h_n and t_1, \dots, t_m are given by

$$h_i = \Delta_{w,e}^{w^{-1}(i)}(x)$$

for $i \in [1, n]$, and

$$t_k = \Delta_{e, s_{i_m} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}}}^i(y)^{-1} \Delta_{e, s_{i_m} \cdots s_{i_k}}^i(y) = \Delta_{e, s_{i_m} \cdots s_{i_k}}^{i+1}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{e, s_{i_m} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}}}^{i+1}(y),$$

where $y = \psi^{w,e}(x)$ is given by (4.10).

5. OTHER FACTORIZATIONS IN $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$ AND THE MAXIMAL TWIST ψ^{w_o, w_o}

In this section we will provide some explicit factorizations in G^{u, w_o} and $G^{w_o, v}$. Let us consider a factorization of $x \in G^{u, w_o}$ of the form:

$$(5.1) \quad x = x_- \cdot x^{(n-1)} x^{(n-2)} \dots x^{(1)},$$

where $x^- \in G^{u, e}$ and $x^{(m)} \in L^{e, s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{n-1}}$ is given by:

$$x^{(m)} = x_m(t_{m,m}) x_{m+1}(t_{m,m+1}) \dots x_{n-1}(t_{m,n-1})$$

for $m \in [1, n-1]$.

Lemma 5.1. *In the notation of (5.1), we have:*

$$t_{m,k} = \Delta_{[1,m],[k-m+1,k]}^{m,k}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,m],[k-m+2,k+1]}^{m,k+1}(x)$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 2.5. \square

Lemma 5.2. *In the notation of (5.1), we have:*

$$t_{ij} = \Delta_{[1,i] \cup [n+i+1-j,n],[1,j]}^{i,j}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i] \cup [n+i-j,n],[1,j+1]}^{i,j+1}(y)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j < n$, where $y = \psi^{u, w_o}(x)$.

Proof. Denote by \mathbf{i}_0 the following *standard* reduced word for w_o :

$$\mathbf{i}_0 = (n-1; n-2, n-1; \dots; 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

It is convenient to identify \mathbf{i}_0 with the sequence of pairs:

$$(n-1, n-1); (n-2, n-2), (n-2, n-1); \dots; (1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, n-1).$$

Let \mathbf{i}_- be any reduced word for $u \in S_n$. Then we put \mathbf{i}_- and \mathbf{i}_0 into a separated word $\mathbf{i} = (\mathbf{i}_-, \mathbf{i}_0)$ for the element $(u, w_o) \in S_n \times S_n$. Denote by $w_o^{(i,n)}$ the longest element of the subgroup of S_n generated by the simple transpositions $s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}$.

Then in the notation of (4.14) we have for the position k of \mathbf{i} corresponding to the pair (i, j) :

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\leq k} &= w_o^{(i+1,n)} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_j, v_{< k} = w_o^{(i+1,n)} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{j-1}, \\ v_{\leq k}(j+1) &= w_o^{(i+1,n)} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_j(j+1) = w_o^{(i+1,n)}(i) = i \\ v_{< k}(j) &= w_o^{(i+1,n)} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{j-1}(j) = w_o^{(i+1,n)}(i) = i \\ v_{\leq k}[1, j+1] &= w_o^{(i+1,n)} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{j-1}[1, j+1] = w_o^{(i+1,n)}[1, j+1] = [1, i] \cup [n+i-j, n] \\ v_{< k}[1, j] &= w_o^{(i+1,n)} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{j-1}[1, j] = w_o^{(i+1,n)}[1, j] = [1, i] \cup [n+i+1-j, n] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking (4.25) for $\mathbf{i} = (\mathbf{i}_-, \mathbf{i}_0)$ with $i_k = j$, yields the following formula

$$t_k = \Delta_{v_{< k}, e}^j(y)^{-1} \Delta_{v_{\leq k}, e}^{j+1}(y)$$

which, after substituting the results of the above computations, implies the desirable formula for $t_k = t_{ij}$.

The lemma is proved. \square

The above facts imply an immediate corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *For any $u \in S_n$ the twist map ψ^{u, w_\circ} satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{[1,i] \cup [n+i+1-j,n], [1,j]}^{i,j}(\psi^{u, w_\circ}(x))^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i] \cup [n+i-j,n], [1,j+1]}^{i,j+1}(\psi^{u, w_\circ}(x)) &= \\ &= \Delta_{[1,i], [j+1-i,j]}^{i,j}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i], [j-i+2,j+1]}^{i,j+1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$.

Let us consider a factorization of $x \in G^{w_\circ, v}$ of the form

$$(5.2) \quad x_- = h \cdot x_-^{(n-1)} x_-^{(n-2)} \cdots x_-^{(1)} \cdot x_+$$

where $x_+ \in L^{e,v}$, $h \in H$, and $x_-^{(m)} \in L^{s_m \cdots s_{n-1} s_m, e}$ is of the form:

$$x_-^{(m)} = x_{-m}(\tau_{m,m}) x_{-(m-1)}(\tau_{m,m+1}) \cdots x_{-(n-1)}(\tau_{m,n-1})$$

for $m \in [1, n-1]$.

The following result generalizes the factorization from Section 3.2.

Proposition 5.4. *In the notation of (5.2) we have*

$$h_n = x_{n1}, h_{n-1} = - \begin{vmatrix} x_{n-1,1} & \boxed{x_{n-1,2}} \\ x_{n,1} & x_{n,2} \end{vmatrix}, \dots, h_1 = (-1)^{n-1} |x|_{1n},$$

that is,

$$h_m = \Delta_{[m,n], [1, n+1-m]}^{m, n+1-m}(x)$$

for $m \in [1, n]$,

and

$$\tau_{m,k} = (-1)^{k-m} \begin{vmatrix} x_{m,1} & \cdots & \boxed{x_{m,k+1-m}} \\ & \cdots & \\ x_{k,1} & \cdots & x_{k,k+1-m} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} h_m$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq k < n$, i.e.,

$$\tau_{m,k} = \Delta_{[m,k], [1, k+1-m]}^{m, k+1-m}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[m,n], [1, n+1-m]}^{m, n+1-m}(x) .$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Example 5.5. *Let $n = 3$. Then in the factorization*

$$x = h \cdot x_{-2}(\tau_{22}) x_{-1}(\tau_{11}) x_{-2}(\tau_{12}) \cdot x_+,$$

where $h \in H$ and $x_+ \in U$, we have:

$$\tau_{11} = x_{11}^{-1} \Delta_{123,123}^{1,3}(x), \quad \tau_{12} = \Delta_{12,12}^{1,2}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{123,123}^{1,3}(x), \quad \tau_{22} = x_{21}^{-1} \Delta_{23,12}^{2,1}(x) .$$

Our next result is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.25.

Lemma 5.6. *In the notation of (5.2), we have:*

$$\tau_{ij} = \Delta_{[1,j], [n+2-i,n] \cup [1,j+1-i]}^{j,j+1-i}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,j], [n+1-i,n] \cup [1,j-i]}^{j,n+1-i}(y)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j < n$, where $y = \psi^{w_\circ, e}(x)$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{i}_0 = (n-1; n-2, n-1; \dots; 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ is the standard reduced word for w_o and that we conveniently identified \mathbf{i}_0 with the sequence of pairs:

$$(n-1, n-1); (n-2, n-2), (n-2, n-1); \dots; (1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, n-1).$$

Let \mathbf{i}_+ be any reduced word for $v \in S_n$. Then we put $-\mathbf{i}_0$ and \mathbf{i}_+ into a separated word $\mathbf{i} = (-\mathbf{i}_0, \mathbf{i}_+)$ for the element $(w_o, v) \in S_n \times S_n$. Recall that $w_o^{(i,n)}$ denotes the longest element of the subgroup of S_n generated by the simple transpositions $s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}$.

Then in the notation of (4.13) we have for the position k of \mathbf{i} corresponding to the pair (i, j) :

$$u_{\geq k} = w_o w_o^{(i,n)} s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_j, u_{>k} = w_o w_o^{(i,n)} s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{j+1},$$

$$u_{\geq k}(j) = w_o w_o^{(i,n)}(n) = w_o(i) = n+1-i$$

$$u_{>k}(j) = w_o w_o^{(i,n)}(j) = w_o(n+i-j) = j+1-i$$

$$u_{\geq k}[1, j] = w_o w_o^{(i,n)}([1, j-1] \cup \{n\}) = w_o([1, i] \cup [n+i-j, n]) = [n+1-i, n] \cup [1, j-i]$$

$$u_{>k}[1, j] = w_o w_o^{(i,n)}([1, j]) = w_o([1, i-1] \cup [n+i-j, n]) = [n+2-i, n] \cup [1, j+1-i]$$

On the other hand, taking (4.25) for $\mathbf{i} = (-\mathbf{i}_0, \mathbf{i}_+)$ with $i_k = -j$, yields the following formula

$$t_k = \Delta_{e, u_{>k}}^j(y)^{-1} \Delta_{e, u_{\geq k}}^j(y),$$

which, after substituting the results of the above computations, implies the desirable formula for $\tau_k = \tau_{ij}$.

The lemma is proved. \square

The above facts imply an immediate corollary.

Corollary 5.7. *For any $v \in S_n$ the twist map $\psi^{w_o, v}$ satisfies:*

$$\Delta_{[1, j], [n+2-i, n] \cup [1, j+1-i]}^{j, j+1-i}(\psi^{w_o, v}(x))^{-1} \Delta_{[1, j], [n+1-i, n] \cup [1, j-i]}^{j, n+1-i}(\psi^{w_o, v}(x)) = \Delta_{[i, j], [1, j+1-i]}^{i, j+1-i}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[i, n], [1, n+1-i]}^{i, n+1-i}(x)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$.

The above results allow us to completely compute the twist ψ^{w_o, w_o} in terms of positive quasiminors.

Theorem 5.8. *For each $x \in G$ we have (with the notation $y = \psi^{w_o, w_o}(x)$):*

$$\Delta_{[n+1-i, n], [1, i]}^{n+1-i, i}(y) = \Delta_{[n+1-i, n], [1, i]}^{n+1-i, i}(x)$$

for $i \in [1, n]$, and:

$$\Delta_{[1, i] \cup [n+i+1-j, n], [1, j]}^{i, j}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1, i] \cup [n+i-j, n], [1, j+1]}^{i, j+1}(y) = \Delta_{[1, i], [j+1-i, j]}^{i, j}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1, i], [j-i+2, j+1]}^{i, j+1}(x),$$

$$\Delta_{[1, i], [j+1-i, j]}^{i, j}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1, i], [j-i+2, j+1]}^{i, j+1}(y) = \Delta_{[1, i] \cup [n+i+1-j, n], [1, j]}^{i, j}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1, i] \cup [n+i-j, n], [1, j+1]}^{i, j+1}(x),$$

$$\Delta_{[1, j], [n+2-i, n] \cup [1, j+1-i]}^{j, j+1-i}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1, j], [n+1-i, n] \cup [1, j-i]}^{j, n+1-i}(y) = \Delta_{[i, j], [1, j+1-i]}^{i, j+1-i}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[i, n], [1, n+1-i]}^{i, n+1-i}(x),$$

$$\Delta_{[i, j], [1, j+1-i]}^{i, j+1-i}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[i, n], [1, n+1-i]}^{i, n+1-i}(y) = \Delta_{[1, j], [n+2-i, n] \cup [1, j+1-i]}^{j, j+1-i}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1, j], [n+1-i, n] \cup [1, j-i]}^{j, n+1-i}(x)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$.

The above result allows to compute explicitly a large number of positive quasiminors for maximally twisted matrices and to get other relations.

Corollary 5.9. *In the notation of Theorem 5.8 we have*

$$\Delta_{[i,j],[1,j+1-i]}^{i,j+1-i}(y) = \Delta_{[i,n],[1,n+1-i]}^{i,n+1-i}(x) \Delta_{[1,j],[n+1-i,n] \cup [1,j-i]}^{j,n+1-i}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,j],[n+2-i,n] \cup [1,j+1-i]}^{j,j+1-i}(x)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{[1,i],[1,i]}^{i,i}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i] \cup [n+i-j+1,n],[1,j]}^{i,j}(y) &= \Delta_{[1,i],[1,i]}^{i,i}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i],[j-i+1,j]}^{i,j}(y), \\ \Delta_{[1,i],[1,i]}^{i,i}(y)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i],[j-i+1,j]}^{i,j}(y) &= \Delta_{[1,i],[1,i]}^{i,i}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{[1,i] \cup [n+i-j+1],[j-i+1,j]}^{i,j}(y). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, Total positivity in Schubert varieties, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), 128–166.
- [2] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, *Invent. Math.* **143** (2001), no. 1, 77–128.
- [3] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices, *Advances in Mathematics* **122** (1996), 49–149.
- [4] A. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Double Bruhat cells and total positivity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 335–380.
- [5] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25** (1991), no. 2, 91–102.
- [6] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, A theory of noncommutative determinants and characteristic functions of graphs, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **26** (1992), no. 4, 1–20.
- [7] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, Quasideterminants. I, *Selecta Math.(N.S.)* **3** (1997), no. 4, 517–546.
- [8] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson, Quasideterminants, *Advances in Mathematics* **000** (2004), 000–000. Preprint: arXiv:math.QA/0208146
- [9] M. Kogan and A. Zelevinsky, On symplectic leaves and integrable systems in standard complex semisimple Poisson-Lie groups, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices* 2002, No.32, 1685–1702.
- [10] G. Lusztig, Total positivity in reductive groups, in: Lie theory and geometry: in honor of Bertram Kostant, *Progress in Mathematics* **123** (1994), 531–568.
- [11] G. Lusztig, Introduction to total positivity, in: Positivity in Lie theory: open problems, *de Gruyter Exp. Math.* **26** (1998), 133–145.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
E-mail address: arkadiy@math.uoregon.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: vretakh@math.rutgers.edu